Theme 1: Abstract Reasoning

Lecture 2: Logic-based Program Specification

Ahmed Bouajjani

Paris Diderot University, Paris 7

January 2014

Abstract Specification of a Function

Consider a function

$$f: \textit{Dom} \to \textit{CoDom}$$

- How to describe in an abstract way its behavior ?
- Abstraction: No implementation details.
- Specification: A relation Spec_f between inputs and outputs of f

 $Spec_f(In, Out) \subseteq Dom \times CoDom$

• What is a suitable (natural) formalism for describing such a relation?

Logic-based Specification Language

• Example: Specification of the Append function:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Spec}_\textit{Append}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell) = \\ & |\ell| = |\ell_1| + |\ell_2| \land \\ & \forall i \in \textit{Nat.} \ (0 \le i < |\ell_1|) \Rightarrow \ell[i] = \ell_1[i] \land \\ & \forall i \in \textit{Nat.} \ (0 \le i < |\ell_2|) \Rightarrow \ell[|\ell_1| + i] = \ell_2[i] \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \ell \in List[\star]. \; \forall i \in \mathsf{Nat.} \; \forall e \in \star. \; \ell[i] = e \iff \\ (i < |\ell|) \land \\ \exists \ell'. \; (\ell = a \cdot \ell' \land \\ ((i = 0 \land e = a) \lor (i > 0 \land e = \ell'[i - 1]))) \end{aligned}$$

Logic-based Specification Language

• Example: Specification of the Append function:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Spec_Append}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell) = \\ & |\ell| = |\ell_1| + |\ell_2| \land \\ & \forall i \in \textit{Nat.} \ (0 \le i < |\ell_1|) \Rightarrow \ell[i] = \ell_1[i] \land \\ & \forall i \in \textit{Nat.} \ (0 \le i < |\ell_2|) \Rightarrow \ell[|\ell_1| + i] = \ell_2[i] \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall \ell \in List[\star]. \; \forall i \in \mathsf{Nat.} \; \forall e \in \star. \; \; \ell[i] = e \iff \\ (i < |\ell|) \land \\ \exists \ell'. \; (\ell = a \cdot \ell' \land \\ ((i = 0 \land e = a) \lor (i > 0 \land e = \ell'[i - 1]))) \end{array}$$

• \Rightarrow First-order logic over data domains (natural numbers, lists, etc.)

Domains of Interpretation

• Data domain with a set of operations and predicates

- Consider a data domain D
- Let *Op* be a set of operations interpreted as functions over *D*
- Let Pred be a set of predicates interpreted as relations over D
- Remark:

Here the set Op may include constants, seen as operators or arity 0.

Domains of Interpretation

- Data domain with a set of operations and predicates
 - Consider a data domain D
 - Let *Op* be a set of operations interpreted as functions over *D*
 - Let Pred be a set of predicates interpreted as relations over D
- Remark:

Here the set Op may include constants, seen as operators or arity 0.

• Domain of interpretation is a triple (D, Op, Rel).

Domains of Interpretation

- Data domain with a set of operations and predicates
 - Consider a data domain D
 - Let *Op* be a set of operations interpreted as functions over *D*
 - Let Pred be a set of predicates interpreted as relations over D
- Remark:

Here the set Op may include constants, seen as operators or arity 0.

- Domain of interpretation is a triple (D, Op, Rel).
- Examples of domains of interpretation:
 - $(Bool, \{tt, ff, not, or, and\}, \{=\})$
 - ► (Nat, {0, s, +}, {≤})
 - $(List[\star], \{[], \cdot, @\}, \{=\})$

- Let (D, Op, Pred) be a domain of interpretation.
- Let Var be a set of variables.
- Terms:

$$t ::= v \in Var \mid op(t, \ldots, t)$$

where $v \in Var$ and $op \in Op$.

- Let (D, Op, Pred) be a domain of interpretation.
- Let Var be a set of variables.
- Terms:

$$t ::= v \in Var \mid op(t, \ldots, t)$$

where $v \in Var$ and $op \in Op$.

• Examples: x, 2, x + 2, x + y + 3, and 2x as an abbreviation of x + x.

- Let (D, Op, Pred) be a domain of interpretation.
- Let Var be a set of variables.
- Terms:

$$t ::= v \in Var \mid op(t, \ldots, t)$$

where $v \in Var$ and $op \in Op$.

- Examples: x, 2, x + 2, x + y + 3, and 2x as an abbreviation of x + x.
- Terms are interpreted as elements of the domain *D*:
 - Let $\nu: Var \rightarrow D$ be a valuation of the variables.
 - ▶ Then, $\langle t \rangle_{\nu}$ is the value in *D* obtained by the evaluation of *t*, using ν as valuation of the variables.

- Let (D, Op, Pred) be a domain of interpretation.
- Let Var be a set of variables.
- Terms:

$$t ::= v \in Var \mid op(t, \ldots, t)$$

where $v \in Var$ and $op \in Op$.

- Examples: x, 2, x + 2, x + y + 3, and 2x as an abbreviation of x + x.
- Terms are interpreted as elements of the domain *D*:
 - Let $\nu: Var \rightarrow D$ be a valuation of the variables.
 - ▶ Then, $\langle t \rangle_{\nu}$ is the value in *D* obtained by the evaluation of *t*, using ν as valuation of the variables.
 - Example: Given $\nu = \{(x, 2), (y, 1), (z, 4)\}$, we have

$$\langle x \rangle_{\nu} = 2 \quad \langle x + 2y \rangle_{\nu} = 4 \quad \langle (x * z) + (y + 1) \rangle_{\nu} = 10$$

First Order Logic: Syntax of formulas

• Formulas:

$$\phi ::= p(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \exists v. \phi$$

where $p \in Pred$ and $v \in Var$.

- Examples: 2x + y ≤ z, x = y as an abbreviation of x ≤ y ∧ y ≤ x, x < y as an abbrev. of x ≤ y ∧ ¬(x = y).
- An occurrence of a variable x is bound in a formula φ if it is under a quantifier ∃x. We assume that all occurrences of a variable are either bound or unbound in a formula. A variable is free in φ if its occurrences in φ are unbound. A formula is closed if it has not free variables.
- Examples:
 - $\phi_1 = \forall x, y. x \le y \Rightarrow \exists z. (x \le z \land z < y)$ is a closed formula.
 - $\phi_2 = \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y$ is a closed formula.
 - $\phi_3 = \forall y. x \le y$, is an open formula. It has x as free variable.
 - φ₄ = x ≤ y ∧ ∃z. y ≤ z ∧ z ≤ 5 is an open formula. Its free variables are x and y.

First Order Logic: Semantics of formulas

- Given a valuation ν : Var → D of the variables, ν satisfies φ if and only if φ[ν(x)/x] is true, i.e., when interpreting the formula using ν, the formula is true.
- Formulas are interpreted as relations over *D*, i.e., the sets of valuations of the variables that satisfy the formula.
- Let $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ be the set of valuations ν which satisfy ϕ .
- A formula is valid if it is satisfied by all valuations. A formula is satisfiable if there exists a valuation that satisfies it.
- Remark:

Closed formulas are either true (valid) or false: Their value does depend on the variable valuation. Either all variable valuations satisfy them, or none of the valuations can satisfy them.

• Question: what can we say about the formulas in the previous slides?

Example: The head and tail functions

• head function:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \textit{head} & : \; \textit{List}[\star] \to \star \\ \textit{Spec_head}(\ell, \textit{a}) & = \; \exists \ell' \in \textit{List}[\star]. \; \ell = \textit{a} \cdot \ell' \end{array}$$

• tail function:

$$\begin{aligned} tail : List[\star] \to List[\star] \\ Spec_tail(\ell, \ell') &= \exists a \in \star. \ \ell = a \cdot \ell' \end{aligned}$$

Multi-sorted Logics

- In general we need to reason about several data domains simultaneously.
- We will consider domains of interpretation of the form

$$(D_1,\ldots,D_n,Op,Rel)$$

where the operations and relations are defined over one or several of the data domains D_1, \ldots, D_n .

• Example: $(List[*], Nat, \{[], \cdot, @, Lgth, At, 0, s, +\}, \{=, \leq\})$

Specifying a sorting function

Define an Input-Output relation $Spec_Sort(\ell, \ell')$?

Specifying a sorting function

Define an Input-Output relation Spec_Sort(ℓ, ℓ') ?

• The output list is ordered:

 $Ordered(\ell) = \forall i, j, \in Nat. \ (0 \le i < j < |\ell| \Rightarrow \ell[i] \le \ell[j])$

Specifying a sorting function

Define an Input-Output relation $Spec_Sort(\ell, \ell')$?

• The output list is ordered:

 $Ordered(\ell) = \forall i, j, \in Nat. \ (0 \le i < j < |\ell| \Rightarrow \ell[i] \le \ell[j])$

• Is it complete ?

• The output list is a permutation of the input list.

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in FO(*List*[★], *Nat*, {[], ·, @, *Lgth*, *At*, 0, *s*, +}, {=, ≤})?

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in FO(*List*[★], *Nat*, {[], ·, @, *Lgth*, *At*, 0, *s*, +}, {=, ≤})?
- Every element in the input appears in the output, and vice-versa: $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_1| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_2| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$ $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_2| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_1| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in $FO(List[\star], Nat, \{[], \cdot, @, Lgth, At, 0, s, +\}, \{=, \leq\})$?
- Every element in the input appears in the output, and vice-versa: $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_1| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_2| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$ $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_2| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_1| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$
- Still not sufficient: $\ell_1 = [2, 5, 2]$ and $\ell_2 = [2, 5]$

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in FO(*List*[★], *Nat*, {[], ·, @, *Lgth*, *At*, 0, *s*, +}, {=, ≤})?
- Every element in the input appears in the output, and vice-versa: $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_1| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_2| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$ $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_2| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_1| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$
- Still not sufficient: $\ell_1 = [2, 5, 2]$ and $\ell_2 = [2, 5]$
- The input and output lists have the same length: $|\ell_1| = |\ell_2|$

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in FO(*List*[★], *Nat*, {[], ·, @, *Lgth*, *At*, 0, *s*, +}, {=, ≤})?
- Every element in the input appears in the output, and vice-versa: $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_1| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_2| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$ $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_2| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_1| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$
- Still not sufficient: $\ell_1 = [2, 5, 2]$ and $\ell_2 = [2, 5]$
- The input and output lists have the same length: $|\ell_1| = |\ell_2|$
- \bullet Counter-example: $\ell_1 = [2,5,2]$ and $\ell_2 = [5,2,5]$

- The output list is a permutation of the input list.
- Can we express this property in $FO(List[\star], Nat, \{[], \cdot, @, Lgth, At, 0, s, +\}, \{=, \leq\})$?
- Every element in the input appears in the output, and vice-versa: $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_1| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_2| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$ $\forall i \in Nat. \ 0 \leq i < |\ell_2| \Rightarrow \exists j \in Nat. \ (0 \leq j < |\ell_1| \land \ell_1[i] = \ell_2[j])$
- Still not sufficient: $\ell_1 = [2,5,2]$ and $\ell_2 = [2,5]$
- The input and output lists have the same length: $|\ell_1| = |\ell_2|$
- \bullet Counter-example: $\ell_1 = [2,5,2]$ and $\ell_2 = [5,2,5]$
- We must to count the number of occurrences of each element!

• The domain of multisets: $Multiset[\star] \equiv \star \rightarrow Nat$

- The domain of multisets: $\textit{Multiset}[\star] \equiv \star \rightarrow \textit{Nat}$
- Operations on multisets:
 - ► Ø : Multiset[*]
 - $Sg : \star \rightarrow Multiset[\star]$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \uplus : \textit{Multiset}[\star] \times \textit{Multiset}[\star] \rightarrow \textit{Multiset}[\star]$

- The domain of multisets: $Multiset[\star] \equiv \star \rightarrow Nat$
- Operations on multisets:
 - ► Ø : Multiset[★]
 - $Sg : \star \rightarrow Multiset[\star]$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \uplus : \textit{Multiset}[\star] \times \textit{Multiset}[\star] \rightarrow \textit{Multiset}[\star]$
- Definitions:

•
$$\emptyset = \lambda x \in \star. 0$$

- $Sg(a) = \lambda x \in \star$. if x = a then 1 else 0
- $M_1 \uplus M_2 = \lambda x \in \star. M_1(x) + M_2(x)$

- The domain of multisets: $Multiset[\star] \equiv \star \rightarrow Nat$
- Operations on multisets:
 - ► Ø : Multiset[★]
 - $Sg : \star \rightarrow Multiset[\star]$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \uplus : \textit{Multiset}[\star] \times \textit{Multiset}[\star] \rightarrow \textit{Multiset}[\star]$
- Definitions:
 - $\emptyset = \lambda x \in \star. 0$
 - $Sg(a) = \lambda x \in \star$. if x = a then 1 else 0
 - $M_1 \uplus M_2 = \lambda x \in \star. M_1(x) + M_2(x)$

• Example:

 $Sg(0) \uplus (Sg(5) \uplus Sg(0)) =$ $\lambda x \in Nat. \text{ if } x = 0 \text{ then } 2 \text{ else } (\text{if } x = 5 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

Multisets: Properties

- Neutral element: $\emptyset \uplus M = M \uplus \emptyset = M$
- Commutativity: $M_1 \uplus M_2 = M_2 \uplus M_1$
- Associativity: $M_1 \uplus (M_2 \uplus M_3) = (M_1 \uplus M_2) \uplus M_3$

Multisets: Properties

- Neutral element: $\emptyset \uplus M = M \uplus \emptyset = M$
- Commutativity: $M_1 \uplus M_2 = M_2 \uplus M_1$
- Associativity: $M_1 \uplus (M_2 \uplus M_3) = (M_1 \uplus M_2) \uplus M_3$
- Proofs: Use properties of natural numbers.

From Lists to Multisets

• Abstracting order in a list:

$$Ms: List[\star] \rightarrow Multiset[\star]$$

• Definition:

$$Ms([]) = \emptyset$$

$$Ms(a \cdot \ell) = Sg(a) \uplus Ms(\ell)$$

From Lists to Multisets

• Abstracting order in a list:

$$Ms: List[\star] \rightarrow Multiset[\star]$$

• Definition:

$$Ms([]) = \emptyset$$

$$Ms(a \cdot \ell) = Sg(a) \uplus Ms(\ell)$$

• Example: $Ms(b \cdot a \cdot b \cdot []) = \lambda x \in \{a, b\}$. if x = a then 1 else 2

From Lists to Multisets (cont.): Properties

- $Ms(\ell_1 @ \ell_2) = Ms(\ell_2 @ \ell_1) = Ms(\ell_1) \uplus Ms(\ell_2)$
- $Ms(Rev(\ell)) = Ms(\ell)$

From Lists to Multisets (cont.): Properties

- $Ms(\ell_1 @ \ell_2) = Ms(\ell_2 @ \ell_1) = Ms(\ell_1) \uplus Ms(\ell_2)$
- $Ms(Rev(\ell)) = Ms(\ell)$
- Proofs: Induction the structure of lists.

From Lists to Multisets (cont.): Checking membership

• Type:

$$Is_{-in} : \star \times List[\star] \rightarrow Bool$$

Definition:

$$Is_i(a,\ell) = Ms(\ell)(a) > 0$$

 $egin{aligned} & \textit{Spec}_\textit{Sort}(\ell,\ell') = \ & orall i, j, \in \textit{Nat.} \ (0 \leq i < j < |\ell| \Rightarrow \ell'[i] \leq \ell'[j]) \ & \wedge \ & Ms(\ell) = \textit{Ms}(\ell') \end{aligned}$

Conclusion

- Specifications are abstract definitions of the effect of functions
- No implementation details are imposed.
- Logic is a natural for abstract description of input-output relations
- Abstraction allows modular design:
 - The user of a function needs only to know its specification.
 - The implementor must ensure the satisfaction of the specification.