DEROT

PARISIté

Sémantique des Langages de Programmation (SemLP) TD n° 4 : λ -calculus

Exercice 1 : β -normal forms

Let NF be the smallest set of λ -terms such that :

$$\frac{M_i \in NF \quad i = 1, \dots, k}{\lambda x_1 \dots x_n . x M_1 \dots M_k \in NF}$$

Show that NF is exactly the set of λ -terms in β -normal form.

Exercice 2 : Curry FP

We define $Y \equiv \lambda f$. $\Delta_f \Delta_f$ with $\Delta_f \equiv \lambda x$. f(xx). Show that

$$YM =_{\beta} M(YM)$$

Exercice 3 : Turing FP

We define $Y_T \equiv (\lambda xy.y(xxy))(\lambda xy.y(xxy))$. Show that $Y_T f$ is not only convertible to, but reduces to, $f(Y_T f)$.

Exercice 4 :

Recall the definition of parallel β -reduction given in the lecture notes :

$$\frac{M \Rightarrow M' \qquad N \Rightarrow N'}{(\lambda x.M)N \Rightarrow [N'/x]M'} \qquad \frac{M \Rightarrow M' \qquad N \Rightarrow N'}{MN \Rightarrow M'N'} \qquad \frac{M \Rightarrow M'}{(\lambda x.M) \Rightarrow (\lambda x.M')}$$

Let $M \equiv (\lambda x.Ix)(II)$ where $I \equiv (\lambda z.z)$. Say what is the minimum number of reductions required to reduce M to I. Justify your answer.

Exercice 5 : Church Numerals

Recall the definition of *Church Numerals* of the lecture notes :

$$\underline{n} \equiv \lambda f.\lambda x. \ (\underbrace{f...(f}_{n \text{ times}} x)...)$$

1. Show semi-formally that the following functions are correct encodings of their natural numbers counterparts :

$$A \equiv \lambda n.\lambda m.\lambda f.\lambda x. (nf)(mfx) \text{ (addition)} S \equiv \lambda n. A n \underline{1} \text{ (successor)} M \equiv \lambda n.\lambda m.\lambda x. n(mx) \text{ (product)}$$

2. Consider now the encoding of *Booleans* :

$$T \equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$
 (true) $F \equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. y$ (false)

Show that the following term encodes the standard if-then-else construct :

 $C \equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. xyz$ (if-then-else)

3. Do the same for the following encoding of pairs and projections :

$$P \equiv \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z. zxy \quad \text{(pairs)} \\ P_1 \equiv \lambda p. p (\lambda x.\lambda y. x) \quad \text{(first projection)} \\ P_2 \equiv \lambda p. p (\lambda x.\lambda y. y) \quad \text{(second projection)} \end{cases}$$

Exercice 6 :

Prove that for any two terms M and M', with $M \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} M'$, for any term N, and for any variable x, we have $N[M/x] \xrightarrow{*}_{\beta} N[M'/x]$.

Exercice 7 : let-expansion

We consider an extension of the λ -calculus with let definitions of the following form let x = M in N. We denote by C a context with a *hole* and we define a reduction relation \rightarrow_{let} as :

$$\rightarrow_{\mathsf{let}} = \{ (C[\mathsf{let} \ x = N \ \mathsf{in} \ M], \ C[[N/x]M]) \mid C \ \mathsf{contex}, M, N \ \lambda \mathsf{-terms}, x \ \mathsf{variable} \} \}$$

We define the size |M| of a λ -term M as :

Finally, we define the depth d(M) of a λ -term M as :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d(x) &=& 1\\ d(MN) &=& \max(d(M), d(N))\\ d(\lambda x.M) &=& d(M)\\ d(\operatorname{let} x = M \text{ in } N) &=& d(M) + d(N) \end{array}$$

1. Show that there is a reduction strategy for a λ -term M towards a normal form N such that :

$$|N| \leqslant |M|^{d(M)}$$

That is, the size of the term after the let expansion is bound by the size of the origin term elevated to its depth.

Hint : reduce first the lets which do not contain inner lets and proceed by structural induction on the λ -term.

2. Show that the reduction relation $\rightarrow_{\mathsf{let}}$ is *locally* confluent.

Exercice 8 : η -reduction

- **1.** Show that η reduction (\rightarrow_{η}) terminates.
- **2.** Show that η reduction (\rightarrow_{η}) is *locally* confluent.